



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

DIETMAR EGGERT  
WOLFRAM KLUGE

Serial No.: 09/468,015

Filed: DECEMBER 20, 1999

For: ELECTROSTATIC DISCHARGE  
PROTECTION NETWORK  
HAVING DISTRIBUTED  
COMPONENTS

Group Art Unit: 2836

Examiner: KIM NGOC HUYNH

Conf. No.: 3122

Atty. Dkt.: 2000.065900/DE0005  
CUSTOMER NO.: 23720

**COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

**Mail Stop Issue Fee**

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING  
37 C.F.R. § 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date below:

10-5-06

Date

Nancy Nolan  
Signature

Sir:

The Office Mailed a Notice of Allowance on September 5, 2006, allowing all of the pending claims 1-24. The due date for paying the Issue Fee is December 5, 2006. This paper is being filed on October 5, 2006, therefore it is timely filed.

Applicants conducted a telephone interview with the Examiner on August 22, 2006 and authorization was given for the Examiner's Amendment dated September 5, 2006, accompanying the Notice of Allowance. Applicant agreed to the suggested amendments to claims 1, 2, 4, 18, 21, 23, and to reinsert claim 24, which was inadvertently omitted from the previous response to office action. Additionally, Applicant submits herewith a copy of the amended Abstract. Further, Applicant submits herewith pages 1/5 and 2/5 of the drawings as requested by the Examiner.


It seems that, for convenience, the Examiner has paraphrased the claimed language in the Reasons for Allowance. While the Applicant understands the Examiner's desire to use convenient phraseology, the Applicant notes that the proper scope of the claims should be governed based on the express language of the claims themselves in the event there is any inconsistency (actual or perceived) between the claimed language and the Examiner's paraphrasing. Furthermore, words used in the Examiner's paraphrasing should not be construed as claim limitations if the claims themselves do not include the language referenced by the Examiner. Other references or characterizations made by the Examiner in his Reasons for Allowance that are not present in the claims are not to be construed as limitations.

The intended respective scopes of the claims are defined by the respective languages recited in the claims.

Should the Examiner have any questions, **the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney** at the Houston, Texas telephone number (713) 934-4069.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 5, 2006

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Jaison C. John  
Reg. 50,737  
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON  
10333 Richmond Drive, Suite 1100  
Houston, Texas 77042  
Telephone: (713) 934-4069  
Facsimile: (713) 934-7011  
ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANT(S)